

REGIONAL DROUGHT CONTINGENCY PLAN & REGIONAL WATER RELIABILITY PLAN DROUGHT PLANNING TASK FORCE MEETING

Wednesday, May 16, 2017; 1:30 pm
 5620 Birdcage Street, Suite 110
 Citrus Heights, CA 95610
 (916) 967-7692

ATTENDEES

Task Force Member	Attendee(s)
California Department of Water Resources	
California American Water Company	Audie Foster
Carmichael Water District	Steve Nugent
Citrus Heights Water District	David Gordon, Hilary Straus
City of Folsom	Marcus Yasutake
City of Lincoln	Matthew Wheeler
City of Roseville	Jim Mulligan
City of Sacramento	Brett Ewart
Del Paso Manor Water District	
Fair Oaks Water District	
Golden State Water Company	Paul Schubert
Natomas Central Mutual Water Company	
Orange Vale Water Company	
Placer County Water Agency	Brian Rickards
Regional Water Authority	Rob Swartz, John Woodling
Rio Linda/Elverta Community Water District	Ralph Felix
Sacramento County Water Agency	Mike Huot
Sacramento Suburban Water District	
San Juan Water District	Jim Arenz, Tony Barela, Greg Zlotnick
U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation	
Water Forum	

Others In Attendance	
El Dorado County Water Agency	Ken Payne
Stantec	Ibrahim Khadam, Paul Marshall, Vanessa Nishikawa

MEETING SUMMARY

- Presentation topics included: vulnerability update, mitigation actions/opportunities update, conjunctive use analysis approach, and next steps.
- Agenda and hard copy of the presentation slides were distributed as materials (also attached).
- Meeting participants' questions and feedback:
 - Vulnerability Update
 - Should reflect long-term conservation requirements (demand hardening concept) and going beyond the Water Forum Agreement
 - Hydrologic versus regulatory drought – RDCP does not address mandatory reduction from the last few years
 - Make it clear that we are not proposing additional environmental commitments beyond the Water Forum to address the environmental drought vulnerabilities.
 - Add "Arden" to GSWC in tables and text – RDCP area doesn't include its service area south of the American River
 - Vulnerability Table (current conditions):
 - "low" should be shown as yellow (not green)
 - SJWD – CVP green square should be orange
 - Low reservoir storage should be shown as a potential vulnerability for any agency using surface water, otherwise it appears that storage may not be an issue (in light of WaterFix, etc.)
 - Note that this reflects the current regulatory regime.
 - Be clear in documentation when discussing what the vulnerabilities are in detail
 - Will do something similar for future conditions
 - Agencies to review vulnerability table and provide revisions/feedback
 - Need to work with PCWA, City of Sacramento, and City of Roseville to ensure depictions of vulnerabilities and highly restricted scenarios accurately reflect conditions and actions taken (e.g., Roseville is assuming extraordinary conservation, there would be a deficit without that action, City of Sacramento is assuming the use of an intertie with SSWD but without it, there would be a deficit)
 - Other factors affecting vulnerabilities:
 - COA
 - Additional information on long-term water supply contracts
 - Does this include Warren Act contracts? If not successful in renegotiation, an agency would have a greater deficit
 - Updated Water control manual for Folsom Reservoir
 - Forecast-based operations
 - Long-term requirements for treatment standards (warmer temperatures may exacerbate treatment requirements); surface water and groundwater treatment
 - Long-term conservation requirements – demand hardening and socioeconomic issues
 - Mitigation Actions/Opportunities Update
 - Agencies to review structural and non-structural mitigation action tables and provide revisions/feedback

- Conjunctive Use Analysis Approach
 - none
- Next Steps
 - none
- Next Task Force meeting tentatively scheduled for: Wednesday, July 12, 2017, 1:30pm as a webinar.
- **Action Items:**
 - **Swartz** to distribute PDF of meeting packet, vulnerability (likelihood and impact) table, and mitigation action tables to participants.
 - **Swartz** to work with PCWA, City of Sacramento, and City of Roseville to update depictions of vulnerabilities and highly restricted scenarios by Friday, May 26, 2017.
 - **Agencies** to review and provide comments/feedback on vulnerability table and mitigation action tables by Monday, May 22, 2017.